

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,547	11/05/2003	Hirokazu Takemiya	Q78266	6082	
23373	7590 01/21/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		J	PECHHOLD, AI	PECHHOLD, ALEXANDRA K	
SUITE 800	LEVALUE AVELUE, IV.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		3671		

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
	10/700,547	TAKEMIYA, HIROK	AZU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexandra K Pechhold	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than those than the set of the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the maximum statutory.  - Set 17.04(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	/17/04.		
<i>'</i> =	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s, prosecution as to the r	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-4,12-17,25 and 26 is/are rejected	l.		
7) Claim(s) <u>5-11 and 18-24</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	//or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pi	• •		Stage
application from the International Bure		ceived in this National S	nage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , ,	ceived	
200 III 2III 2III 2III 2III 2III 2III 2			
Attach manut(a)			•
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intendeus Sun	nmary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		nmary (P10-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/700,547

Art Unit: 3671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's Request for Reconsideration is persuasive, and therefore the finality of the last Office action mailed 8/17/04 is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 12-17, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (US 5,669,736).

Regarding claims 1 and 14, Lin discloses a method and apparatus, wherein the elastic member is seen as the impact driving post in Fig. 2 (Col 1, lines 40-45 and Col 7, lines 14-17), and the plurality of adjoining column members are seen as the cylindrical cage of reinforcing steel (13) in Fig. 7, all underground and stabilize above ground structures (Col 1, lines 11-24). The steel columns (13) form a contiguous layer with the post as Figs. 2 and 7 suggest. The reinforcing steel (13) has a greater stiffness than the surrounding soil. Lin fails to disclose the material of the impact driving post. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method and system of Lin so the impact driving post is elastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art

Application/Control Number: 10/700,547

Art Unit: 3671

to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claims 2 and 15, Lin discloses the column members as steel, which has an iron components, thereby meeting the limitation of an iron material.

Regarding claims 3 and 16, the reinforcing steel cage (13) surrounds the post.

Regarding claims 4 and 17, Fig. 7 of Lin illustrates the column members as having a cylindrical shape in cross section.

Regarding claims 12 and 25, Lin discloses elevated struutres in column 1, lines 11-24.

Regarding claims 13 and 26, Lin discloses forming a cast-in-place foundation pile, which can be duplicated according to the desired need.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-11 and 18-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/17/04 in a Request for Reconsideration with respect to the Shinohara reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims under the Shinohara reference has been

Application/Control Number: 10/700,547

Art Unit: 3671

withdrawn. The Interview with the applicant's representative on 1/6/05 also helped to clarify the distinctions between Shinohara and the instant invention. Therefore, the finality of the 8/17/04 Office Action has been withdrawn and some of the claims are now being rejected over Lin (US 5,669,736).

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexandra Pechhold whose telephone number is (703) 305-0870. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:00am to 5:30pm and alternating Fridays from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (703)308-3870. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Thomas/B. Will Supervisor/ Patent Examiner

AKP 1/17/05